



**PUBLIC MEETING — DRAFT FUNDING
RECOMMENDATIONS**

Proposition 84 IRWM
Implementation Grants
Round 2
October 7, 2013

PROPOSITION 84 IRWM PROGRAM OVERVIEW

\$1 Billion total authorized

3 Rounds of Implementation Grants (\$808.5M)

- Round 1 = \$205M – Awarded in 2011
- Round 2 = \$131M – Current round
 - Approximately \$15.9M for Disadvantaged Community Critical Water Supply/Water Quality Projects (DAC projects)
- Round 3 = \$472.5M – upon appropriation of funds


ROUND 2 SOLICITATION SUMMARY

Final Guidelines and PSP Issue November 2012

Applications Due March 29, 2013

34 Applications Received

- Total funds requested = \$241 million
- Total project costs = \$1.1 billion
- Total number of projects = 214
- Total DAC project funds requested = Approximately \$32M
 - Additional funding requested for non-critical DAC projects



ROUND 2 MAXIMUM GRANT AMOUNTS

Based on Proposition 84 Allocation Schedule and available Round 2 funding

Funding Area	Prop 84 Schedule	Maximum Grant Amount
North Coast	\$37,000,000	\$5,386,000
San Francisco Bay	\$138,000,000	\$20,086,000
Central Coast	\$52,000,000	\$7,569,000
Los Angeles-Ventura	\$215,000,000	\$31,294,000
Santa Ana	\$114,000,000	\$16,671,000
San Diego	\$91,000,000	\$13,245,000
Sacramento River	\$73,000,000	\$10,626,000
San Joaquin River	\$57,000,000	\$8,296,000
Tulare/Kern	\$60,000,000	\$8,734,000
Lahontan	\$27,000,000	\$3,930,000
Colorado River	\$36,000,000	\$5,240,000
Total	\$900,000,000	

REVIEW PROCESS

Eligibility & Completeness

Technical Review

- DWR Headquarters and Region Offices
- Water Boards staff
- Economics and Benefits

Consensus Review

Senior Review

Supervisory Review/Final Review

SCORING CRITERIA AND PROPOSAL SCORES

Work Plan

Possible Points: 80-0

Budget

Proposal Scores: 70-38

Schedule

Monitoring, Assessment & Performance Measures

Technical Justifications of Projects

Benefits and Cost Analysis

Program Preferences

DAC FUNDING OBLIGATIONS

Mandated amount = \$15,906,346

Estimated amount = \$18.4 Million

• Assumes proportional cuts for partial awards

Non-critical DAC projects = \$19-20 Million

FACTORS CONSIDERED WHEN DEVELOPING RECOMMENDATIONS

Ensure that scoring and decisions are consistent with Guidelines and PSP

Award all available funding

Don't award any future funding

Ensure that DAC funding obligation was met (exceeded)

Ensure that, overall, high quality proposals were recommended for funding

Ensure that funding area allocations are tracked

Endeavor to fully fund proposals, as much as possible

Endeavor to avoid partial funding that would severely impact proposal

When considering partial funding, consider scores

• Higher score = smaller cut

Ensure that all Funding Areas received at least one recommended award

For trans-Funding Area proposals, allocated funding based on project location

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS


20 Proposals Recommended for funding

• Fund 14 @ 100%

• Fund 3 @ 75%

• Fund 3 @ 50%

DRAFT AWARDS – ROUND 2 PROPOSITION 84 IMPLEMENTATION GRANTS (SEPTEMBER 2013)



ANTICIPATED REMAINING BALANCES BASED ON DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS, SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Funding Area	Prop 84 Schedule	Recommended Award Schedule	Est. Remaining Balance	% of total FA allocation
North Coast	\$37,000,000	\$5,386,000	\$19,747,939	53%
San Francisco Bay	\$138,000,000	\$20,301,000	\$73,612,858	53%
Central Coast	\$52,000,000	\$7,569,000	\$19,748,065	38%
Los Angeles-Ventura	\$215,000,000	\$43,996,423	\$100,783,109	47%
Santa Ana	\$114,000,000	\$8,833,500	\$82,316,496	72%
San Diego	\$91,000,000	\$7,495,423	\$62,268,564	68%
Sacramento River	\$73,000,000	\$6,311,442	\$40,518,086	56%
San Joaquin River	\$57,000,000	\$7,699,066	\$28,382,635	50%
Tulare/Kern	\$60,000,000	\$16,745,898	\$16,217,196	27%
Lahontan	\$27,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$12,205,051	45%
Colorado River	\$36,000,000	\$5,240,000	\$16,700,000	46%
Total	\$900,000,000	\$131,077,752	\$472,500,000	


SCHEDULE

Comments due October 10

Final awards – Late November/Early December

Follow on actions:

- Commitment Letters
- Tax Certifications
- Execute Grant Agreements



QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Comments Due: October 10, 2013
Email to: keith.wallace@water.ca.gov
• Preferred
• MS Word compatible
Mail to:
California Department of Water Resources
Division of Integrated Regional Water Management
Financial Assistance Branch
Post Office Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236
Attn: Keith Wallace
